IPPJ-AM-6

FREE-FREE TRANSITION IN A PLASMA

REVIEW OF CROSS SECTIONS AND SPECTRA

T.KATO AND H.NARUM

INSTITUTE OF PLASMA PHYSICS NAGOYA UNIVERSITY

NAGOYA, JAPAN

ngt be particled print to publication of any created we want of amhire, 7

IPPJ-AM-6

FREE-FREE TRANSITION IN A PLASMA - REVIEW OF CROSS SECTIONS AND SPECTRA - .

Takako Kato and Hajime Narumi*

Institute of Plasma Physics, Nagoya University *Department of Physics, Faculty of Science, Hiroshima University

June 1978

Enquiries about copyright and reproduction should be addressed to Research Information Center, IPP/Nagoya University, Nagoya, Japan.

Abstract

The cross sections for free-free emission and free-free absorption were reviewed. The energy spectrum by free-free emission from a plasma of temperature Te is given as well as total emission rate. Free-free absorption coefficients are also given. Free-Free Transition in a Plasma Review of cross sections and spectra

Takako KATO and Hajime NARUMI*

Institute of Plasma Physics, Nagoya University *Department of Physics, Faculty of Science, Hiroshima University

§1. Bremsstrahlung

Bremsstrahlung is emitted by a particle accelerated in the Coulomb field of an ion. It is also called free-free transition. Several formulae are available in order to calculate the cross section of bremsstrahlung, depending on the energy range of the incident electron and on the emitted photon. We can divide the energy of the incident electron into two ranges as follows:

- (1) relativistic region
- (2) non-relativistic region

Roughly speaking we can use the Born approximation formula for the relativistic case, the Sommerfeld formula for the nonrelativistic case , and classical theory for low energies $(W_0 < 13.6 \ Z^2 eV)$. In the temperature range ($\sim 10 \ keV$) which is of current interest in nuclear fusion, the Sommerfeld formula

- <u>`</u> -

is suitable rather than the Born approximation. We consider these cases in the next sections.

Electron-electron bremsstrahlung in the relativistic region is investigated by Kawai et al (1978), and total emission rate is shown in §1. III (Fig.7).

The following symbols and units are used:

E₀, E; initial and final total energy of the electron in a collision (in eV).

W₀, W; initial and firal kinetic energy of the electron in a collision (in eV).

 λ ; wavelength of the emitted photon (in \tilde{A}).

k,; ; energy of the emitted photon (in eV).

 kT_{e} ; electron temperature (in eV).

; fine structure constant 1/137.043.

 r_e ; classical electron radius 2.82 × 10⁻¹³ cm.

m_e; electron mass

η₀, η; Sommerfeld number η₀ = $Z(ε_H/W_0)^{1/2}$, η = $Z(ε_H/W)^{1/2}$.

9.11 \times 10⁻²⁸g.

 $\epsilon_{\rm H}$; ionization energy of hydrogen atom 13.6 eV. Z; nuclear charge

I. Cross Section

α

(1) Relativistic case

For $\eta_0 << 1$ and $\eta << 1$, the Born approximation gives a good result. The cross sections at relativistic energies are reviewed by Koch and Motz (1959) and we refer to this paper for more detail about the differential and integrated cross sections.

-_21-

From the Bethe-Heitler formula, the energy differential cross section $d\sigma$ is written as

$$d\sigma = 4Z^{2} \alpha r_{e}^{2} \frac{dk_{v}}{k_{v}} f(E_{0}, E)$$

= 2.34 × 10⁻²⁷Z² $\frac{dk_{v}}{k_{v}} f(E_{0}, E) cm^{2}$. (1)

Function $f(E_0, E)$ depends on the value of ξ which characterizes the screening effect and

$$\xi \equiv 100 \ \left(\frac{k_{v}m_{e}c^{2}}{E_{0} E Z^{1/3}}\right) = \frac{5.11 \times 10^{7}k_{v}}{(E_{0}E Z^{1/3})}$$
$$= \frac{6.3 \times 10^{11}}{E_{0}E\lambda Z^{1/3}}$$

where λ is the wavelength of the photon. The screening effect by electrons of an ion (atom) is effective for the case of extreme relativistic and low energies. The Coulomb correction becomes effective for Z > 20 (1% for Z = 92, e.g. Hayakawa 1969) in the case of no screening, whereas it is negligible in the case of complete screening.

(a) no screening $\xi >> 1$ (E_0 , E, $k_v >> 5 \times 10^5$ eV) $f(E_0, E) = [1 + (\frac{E}{E_0})^2 - \frac{2}{3}(\frac{E}{E_0})] [1n(\frac{2E_0E}{k_v m_e c^2}) - \frac{1}{2}]$ $= [1 + (\frac{E}{E_0})^2 - \frac{2}{3}(\frac{E}{E_0})] [1n(\frac{E_0E}{2.56 \times 10^5 k_v}) - \frac{1}{2}]$ $= [1 + (\frac{E}{E_0})^2 - \frac{2}{3}(\frac{E}{E_0})] [1n(\frac{E_0E}{3.17 \times 10^9}) - \frac{1}{2}] .$ (2)

 Z^2 in eq.(1) can be replaced by $(Z^2 + Z_e)$ in this case, where Z_e is the number of electrons per ion.

(b) Intermediate screening I (15 > ξ > 2)

$$f(E_{0}, E) = [1 + (\frac{E}{E_{0}})^{2} - \frac{2}{3}(\frac{E}{E_{0}})] [1n(\frac{E_{0}E}{2.56 \times 10^{5}k_{v}}) - \frac{1}{2} - C(\xi)]$$

$$= [1 + (\frac{E}{E_{0}})^{2} - \frac{2}{3}(\frac{E}{E_{0}})] [1n(\frac{E_{0}E \lambda}{3.17 \times 10^{9}}) - \frac{1}{2} - C(\xi)].$$
(3)

The values of $C(\xi)$ are given in Fig.1.

Intermediate screening II ($\xi < 2$) (c)

$$f(E_0, E) = (1 + (\frac{E}{E_0})^2) \left[\frac{\phi_1(\xi)}{4} - \frac{1}{3} \ln Z\right] - \frac{2}{3} - \frac{E}{E_0} \left[\frac{\phi_2(\xi)}{4} - \frac{1}{3} \ln Z\right].$$
(4)

(d) Complete screening $(\xi = 0)$

$$f(E_0, E) = [1 + (\frac{E}{E_0})^2 - \frac{2}{3}(\frac{E}{E_0})] \ln (183 \ Z^{-1/3}) + \frac{1}{9} \frac{E}{E_0}.$$
 (5)

Gould (1969) has calculated $f(E_0, E)$ for the hydrogen atom, the helium ion and the helium atom, and the results are shown in Vol.2 of Cross Sections for Atomic Process (1976).

The spectral cross section for Platinum (Z = 73) is shown in Fig.3 as an example (Koch and Motz, 1959).

(2) Non-relativistic case

The cross section is usually expressed by means of the Gaunt factor g_{ff} and the classical cross section $d\sigma_{class}$ given by Kramers (1923),

$$d\sigma = c\sigma_{class} gff$$

$$\simeq \frac{16}{3} \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{3}} \alpha r_{e}^{2} \frac{m_{e}c^{2}}{2W_{0}} z^{2} \frac{dk_{v}}{k_{v}} gff$$

· - 4⁻ -

$$= 1.43 \times 10^{-21} \frac{1}{W_0} g_{ff} \frac{dk_v}{k_v}.$$
 (6)

The free-free Gaunt factor is in general a function of electron energy and photon energy.

(a) Born approximation

The Born approximation is valid for high energy incident electrons ($n_0 << 1$, $\eta << 1$) and for the low energy spectrum. The following formula is known as the Born formula, and is suitable only for the long-wavelength limit and the range of 0.33 < η < 0.22.

$$g_{ff} = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{\pi} \ln \frac{\eta + \eta_0}{\eta - \eta_0}$$
 (7)

(b) Sommerfeld formula

Sommerfeld (1939) derived an exact quantum mechanical expression in terms of the hypergeometric function using the non-relativistic dipole approximation and neglecting the recoil of the ion.

$$g_{ff} = \frac{\sqrt{3\pi} x (d/dx) \{ |F(in_0, in, 1:x)|^2 \}}{(e^{2\pi\eta} 0 - 1) (1 - e^{2\pi\eta})} , \qquad (8)$$

where

 $x = -4\eta_0 \eta / (\eta - \eta_0)^2$,

and $F(i\eta_0, i\eta, 1:x)$ is the hypergeometric function. This formula is not suitable for the relativistic case, since higher order multipole radiation is not considered.

The expression of eq.(8) is exact but difficult to evaluate because of the hypergeometric function. Brussaard and Van de Hulst (1962) collect many approximations that permit the rapid calculation of numerical results with an accuracy of one percent.

Karzas and Latter (1961) calculated numerically the exact values of g_{ff} from eq.(8) for a wide range of incident electron energy W_0 and the emitted photon energy k_v . Those are shown in Fig.4.

(c) Born-Elwert formula

From eq.(8) Elwert derived a formula which is fairly accurate over the whole range of the electron and photon energies,

$$g_{ff} = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{\pi} \ln \frac{\eta + \eta_0}{\eta - \eta_0} \left(\frac{\eta}{\eta_0} \frac{1 - e^{-2\pi\eta_0}}{1 - e^{-2\pi\eta}} \right) .$$
 (9)

This formula has an accuracy within 1% for 0.03 < η_0 < 0.22.

(d) Classical theory

. . .

The classical result is valid only for low electron energies $\eta_0 >> 1$.

The Gaunt factor is expressed by the impact parameter method as follows

$$g_{ff} = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{\pi} \ln (4\sqrt{2} h W_0^{3/2} / \Gamma Z e^2 m_e^{1/2} k_v) \quad \text{for } k_v << T_0$$
$$= \frac{\sqrt{3}}{\pi} \ln (0.608 W_0^{3/2} / Z \cdot k_v)$$
$$= \frac{\sqrt{3}}{\pi} \ln (4.91 \times 10^{-5} W_0^{3/2} \lambda / Z) , \qquad (10)$$

Where $\Gamma = e^{\gamma} = 1.781 \cdots$ with $\gamma = 0.577$ (Euler's constant). $g_{ff} = 1$ for $k_{\gamma} >> T_0$.

and a second second

___6__

When ions are fully ionized, the Sommerfeld formula (8) can be used for low energies. Elwert (1948) showed that the classical formula (10) can be obtained from this formula for low electron energies.

(e) Screening effect

The results montioned in (a), (b), (c) and (d) are solutions for a point charge Z, and screening effects by electrons in an ion are neglected.

For fully ionized ions, the effective charge Z_{eff} is equal to the nuclear charge Z, but generally it is complicated for other ions and atoms. Screening effects for ions and atoms are not yet well studied. (e.g. Guggenberger (1957), Hettner (1958)). The value of Z_{eff} depends on the photon energies and changes from the net charge $Z_i = Z - Z_e$ for low photon energies to the nuclear charge Z at high photon energies.

Near the high energy cut-off, the contribution from the minimum impact parameter P_{min} is dominant, and P_{min} is roughly equal to the de Broglie wavelength $h/m_e v_0 = 12.26/\sqrt{W_0} (eV) Å$ (Weinstock 1942). So we can consider that $Z_{eff} = Z$ if the de Broglie wavelength is smaller than the radius of K-shell, and $Z_{eff} = Z - 2$ if the de Broglie wavelength is between the L-shell and the K-shell, etc.

II. Temperature averaged spectrum for a Maxwellian gas

The energy spectrum by free-free emission from electrons which have a Maxwellian distribution can be written as

- 7 -

$$dP = N_e N_i \int_0^\infty f(v) \ d\sigma v k_v dv$$
(11)

where f(v) is Maxwellian distribution function f(v)dv = $4\pi \left(\frac{m_e}{2\pi kT_e}\right)^{3/2} v^2 e^{-\frac{m_e v^2}{2kT_e}} dv$, N_i and N_e are ion and electron density respectively. The spectrum is

$$dP = \frac{2^{5}e^{6}\pi}{3\sqrt{3}m_{e}^{2}c^{3}h} \left(\frac{2\pi m_{e}}{kT_{e}}\right)^{1/2} Z_{eff}^{2} N_{e}N_{i} \overline{g}_{ff}(u, \gamma^{2}) e^{-k_{v}/kT_{e}} dk_{v}$$
$$\frac{dP}{dk_{v} \cdot k_{v}} = \frac{9.53 \times 10^{-11}}{k_{v}(kT_{e})^{1/2}} N_{e}N_{i} Z_{eff}^{2} \overline{g}_{ff}(u, \gamma^{2}) e^{-k_{v}/kT_{e}}$$

photons $(cm^3 sec keV)^{-1}$. (12)

or

$$\frac{dP}{d\lambda} = \frac{1.89 \times 10^{-21}}{\lambda^2 (kT_e)^{1/2}} N_e N_i Z_{eff}^2 \bar{g}_{ff}(u, \gamma^2) e^{-\frac{12400}{kT_e}\lambda} erg(cm^2 sec Å)^{-1}$$

where $\bar{g}_{ff}(u, \gamma^2)$ is the temperature averaged Gaunt factor

$$\overline{g}_{ff}(u, \gamma^2) = \int_0^\infty e^{-x} g_{ff} dx \qquad (x^2 = Z_{eff}^2 Ry/kT_e). \quad (13)$$

 \bar{g}_{ff} is a function of $u = k_v/kT_e$ and $\gamma^2 = Z_{eff}^2 Ry/kT_e$, and their values are calculated numerically by Karzas and Latter (1961). They are shown in Fig.5. Simple approximated analytic expressions for \bar{g}_{ff} derived from the results by Karzas and Latters (1961) are given in Table I. For the case of Born approximation, starting from eq.(7), we find

$$\overline{g}_{ff} = \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{3}} e^{\frac{k_v}{2kT_e}} K_0 \left(\frac{k_v}{2kT_e}\right)$$
$$= \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{3}} e^{\frac{6200}{\lambda \cdot kT_e}} K_0 \left(\frac{6200}{\lambda \cdot kT_e}\right)$$
(14)

where K_0 is the modified Bessel function. This function can be approximated as

$$K_0 (k_v/2kT_e) = ln(4kT_e/k_v) - 0.577$$
 for $k_v << kT_e$

- 8 -

$$\begin{split} \kappa_0 \left(k_{\rm V}/2kT_{\rm e} \right) &= \left(\pi/2 \right)^{1/2} {\rm e}^{-k_{\rm V}/2kT} {\rm e} \left(k_{\rm V}/2kT_{\rm e} \right)^{-1/2} {\rm for } k_{\rm V} >> kT_{\rm e} {\rm .} \end{split} \eqno(15) \\ \mbox{But formula (14) is not suitable for large values of γ^2 (kT_{\rm e}$ < 136 $Z_{\rm eff}^2$ eV). \end{split}$$

III. Total emission rate

The energy generation rate from a plasma is obtained by integrating eq.(12) over all photon energies.

$$U = \int_0^\infty \frac{dP}{dk_v} dk_v .$$

(1) Relativistic ($kT_{\rho} >> 5 \times 10^5$ eV) case

$$U = \frac{32}{3} \int_{\pi}^{2} N_{i} N_{e} Z^{2} \alpha r_{e}^{2} c \cdot k T_{e} \{2.28 \times 1.41 \ln (k T_{e} / m_{e} c^{2})\}$$
(16)
= 3.35 × 10⁻²⁸ (ln kT_e - 11.51)kT_e N_i N_e Z² erg(cm³sec)⁻¹.

(2) Non-relativestic
$$(kT_e^{<<5 \times 10^5 eV})$$
 case
 $U = 1.53 \times 10^{-2.5} (kT_e^{-1/2)} (\gamma^2) N_i N_e^2 Z_{eff}^2 erg(cm^3 sec)^{-1}$
(17)

where $\langle g_{ff}(\gamma^2) \rangle = \int_0^{\infty} du \ e^{-u} \ \bar{g}_{ff}(u, \gamma^2)$. The values of $\langle g_{ff}(\gamma^2) \rangle$ are shown in Fig.6 $\langle g_{ff}(\gamma^2) \rangle$ takes the value between 1.15 and 1.45 for the temperature range of $kT_e < 13.6 \times 10^3 Z_{eff}^2 eV$.

(3) Electron-Electron bremsstrahlung

The total emission rate for e-e bremsstrahlung in the relativistic plasma is shown in Fig.7 (Kawai et al 1978).

- 9 -

§2. Free-Free absorption

Free-free absorption is the inverse process of bremsstrahlung, that is, an electron absorbs a photon and makes a transition to a higher state instead of to a lower state. This process is dominant for the absorption of photons of energies smaller than the photoionization threshold, and is important for low energy photons for the objects like thermal radio sources.

والمراجع العلي العلي المراجع

I. Cross section

The cross section for absorption of a photon hv by an electron of the energy W_i , which makes a transition to energy $W_f = W_i + k_v$ in the Coulomb field, is related to the bremsstrahlung cross section σ (see §1, I, $W_i = W - k_v$, $W_f = W_0$), using the detailed balancing.

$$\rho = \frac{c^2 h^3 W_f}{4\pi \sqrt{2mW_i}} \frac{d\sigma}{k_v^2 dk_v} \qquad (18)$$

It is convenient to use the free-free absorption coefficient $\tau^{\rm FF}$ which is proportional to both the electron and ion densities

$$\tau^{FF} = N_i N_e \rho^{FF} cm^{-1} , \qquad (19)$$

and ρ^{FF} is frequently called the free-free cross section, although it has the dimension of (length)⁵.

(a) Hydrogenic transitions

Radiative transitions in the field of a point nuclear charge Z are called hydrogenic transitions.

The classical cross section for free-free absorption

- 10 -

was first given by Kramers.

$$\rho_{\rm K}^{\rm FF} = \frac{4\pi h^2 e^6 Z^2}{3\sqrt{6} m_{\rm e}^{3/2} c k_{\rm v}^3 \sqrt{W_{\rm i}}} = \frac{2}{3\sqrt{6}} \alpha r_{\rm e}^2 \frac{\sqrt{m_{\rm e}} h^3 c^4}{k_{\rm v}^3 \sqrt{W_{\rm i}}}$$
$$= 2.16 \times 10^{-37} Z^2 / k_{\rm v}^3 (eV) \sqrt{W_{\rm i} (eV)} \ cm^5$$
$$= 1.13 \times 10^{-49} Z^2 \lambda^3 ({\rm \AA}) / \sqrt{W_{\rm i} (eV)} \ cm^5 .$$
(20)

The cross section calculated by quantum theory can be written in terms of the Gaunt factor as discussed in §1.

$$\rho^{\text{FF}} = \rho_{\text{K}}^{\text{FF}} \cdot g_{\text{ff}}(W_{i}, k_{v})$$

The values of g_{ff} are the same as shown in Fig.4, but with a change of the parameters $W_0 - k_v \neq W_i$, where W_i is the incident electron energy in the case of free-free absorption whereas W_0 is the incident electron energy in the case of bremsstrahlung.

(b) Relation to elastic scattering

For low energy photons, the free-free absorption cross section may be approximately expressed in terms of elastic scattering cross section. The cross section is given in the one electron approximation by (e.g. Johnston 1967)

$$\rho^{FF} = \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{3} \frac{h^2 e^2 \sqrt{W_f} \, \bar{W}}{m_e^{3/2} k_v^3 c} \, Q_d(\bar{W}) + O((\frac{k}{\bar{W}})^2)$$
$$\stackrel{\sim}{=} 5.71 \times 10^{-2.4} \sqrt{W_f} \, \bar{W} \, Q_d(\bar{W}) / k_v^3 \, cm^5$$
(21)

$$= 2.99 \times 10^{-3} \sqrt[6]{W_{f}} \overline{W} \lambda^{3} Q_{d}(\overline{W}) \qquad cm^{5}$$

where \overline{W} is the mean energy $\overline{W} \equiv \frac{1}{2}(W_1 + W_f)$ and $Q_d(\overline{W})$ is the momentum transfer cross section (in cm²). For non-hydrogenic ions, the cross section can be written by (Dalgarno and Lane

- 11 -

1966)

$$\rho^{\rm FF} = 0.909 \times 10^{-24} \frac{W_{i} \sqrt{W_{f}}}{k^{3}} [\frac{W_{f}}{W_{i}} Q_{d}(W_{i}) + Q_{d}(W_{f})] \ \rm cm^{5}.$$
 (22)

The data of the momentum transfer cross sections $Q_{d}(W)$ will be compiled in another section (Cross Section of Atomic Process Vol.3, 1978).

II. Absorption coefficient

Free-Free absorption coefficient μ is connected with the free-free emission rate P(eq.(12)) by Kirchhoff's law

$$\frac{P}{4\pi} = \mu B , \qquad (23)$$

. . .

where B is the Planck function

$$B_{k_{v}} = \frac{2k_{v}^{3}}{c^{2}h^{3}} \left[\exp\left(\frac{k_{v}}{kT_{e}}\right) - 1 \right]^{-1} dk_{v}$$

= 3.14 × 10²²k_v³ [exp($\frac{k_{v}}{kT_{e}}$) - 1]⁻¹erg(cm²·sec·sr·keV)⁻¹
(24)

$$B_{\lambda} = \frac{2hc^2}{\lambda^5} \left[\exp\left(\frac{hc}{\lambda k T_e}\right) - 1 \right]^{-1} d\lambda$$

= 1.19 × 10²⁷ $\lambda^{-5} \left[\exp\left(\frac{12400}{\lambda \cdot k T_e}\right) - 1 \right]^{-1} \exp\left(cm^2 \cdot \sec \cdot \operatorname{sr} \cdot \mathring{A}\right)^{-1}$

 μ can be obtained from eq.(12) and eq.(23) for a Maxwellian electron gas, with a temperature averaged Gaunt factor \bar{g}_{ff} ,

$$\mu = 1.27 \times 10^{-49} \lambda^{3} (kT_{e})^{-1/2} (1 - e^{-\frac{12400}{\lambda \cdot kT_{e}}}) Z_{eff}^{2} N_{e} N_{i} \bar{g}_{ff} cm^{-1}$$

$$= 2.42 \times 10^{-37} k_{v}^{-3} (kT_{e})^{-1/2} (1 - e^{-\frac{k_{v}}{kT_{e}}}) Z_{eff}^{2} N_{e} N_{i} \bar{g}_{ff} cm^{-1}.$$
(25)

For low frequencies ($k_v \ll kT_e$)

$$\mu = 1.58 \times 10^{-4.5} \lambda^2 (kT_e)^{-3/2} Z_{eff}^2 N_e N_i \bar{g}_{ff} cm^{-1}$$
(26)

- 12 -

=
$$2.42 \times 10^{-37} k_v^2 (kT_e)^{-3/2} Z_{eff}^2 N_e N_i \bar{g}_{ff} cm^{-1}$$

The values of \bar{g}_{ff} are the same as those in Fig.5 and in Table I of §1. Sometimes the free-free absorption coefficient is expressed as

$$a = \mu / N_{i} P_{e}$$
 (cm⁴/dyne) , (27)

where $P_e = N_e kT_e$ (dyne cm⁻²) is the electron pressure.

Peach (1967) calculated the free-free absorption coefficients for non-hydrogenic ions of H^+ , He^+ , He^{++} , Li^+ , C^+ , N^+ , O^+ , Na^+ , Mg^+ , Mg^{++} , Al^+ , Si^+ , K^+ and Ca^{++} for the temperature range $T_e = 4000 \ 13000^{\circ}$ K, and the results for O^+ , K^+ , Mg^{++} and Ca^{++} are shown in Fig.8. Dalgarno and Lane (1966) calculated for H, He, N, O, Ne, H_2 , N_2 and O_2 by the use of the momentum transfer cross section. Some of the results are shown in Fig.9, where the electron temperature is 6300° K. De Vore (1965) calculated μ for N and N⁺ in the wavelength range of $5 \ 500\mu$. The coefficient for atoms is typically much smaller by several orders of magnitude than for ions because of the short range of the electron-atom interaction relative to the Coulomb force.

References

Brussaard, P.J. and Van de Hulst, H.C., 1962, Rev. Mod. Phys. 34, 507. 11 115 Bethe, H. and Heitler, W., 1934, Proc. Roy. Soc. (London) A 146, 83. Dalgarno, A. and Lane, N.F., 1966, Astrophys. J. 145, 623. De Vore, R.V., 1964, Phys. Rev. 136, A 666. Elwert, G., 1948, Z. Naturforsch. 3a, 477. Gould, R.J., 1969, Phys. Rev. 185, 72. Gould, R.J., 1970, American J. Phys. 38, 189. Guggenberger, T., 1957, Z. Physik 149, 523. Hayakawa, S., 1969, Cosmic Ray Physics (John Wiley & Sons, Inc.) Hettner, G., 1958, Z. Physik 150, 182. Johnston, R.R., 1967, J. Quant. Spec. Rad. Trans. 7, 815. Karzas, W.J. and Latter, R., 1961, Astrophys. J. Supple. 6, 167. Kawai, N., Maegauchi, T., Narumi, H., and Kawamura, T., 1978, 6th International Conference on Atomic Physics (Riga). Koch, H.W. and Motz, J.W., 1959, Rev. Mod. Phys. 31, 920. Kramers, H.A., 1923, Phil. Mag. 46, 836. Peach, G., 1967, Mem. R. Astr. Soc. 71, 1. Sommerfeld, A., 1953, Atombau und Spektrallinien Vol.2, Chap.7, (New York : Ungar).

Weinstock, R., 1942, Phys. Rev. 61, 584.

- 14 -

	<u>م</u>		·.
	kT _e /Z ² eff(eV)	$u = k_v / kT_e$	g _{ff} (u)
,	1.36 ∿ 13.6	< 0.5	1.12 $u^{-1/6}$
		> 0.5	∿ 1
0	13.6 ∿ 136	< 0.1	u ^{-0.19}
	· · ·	> 0.1	$1.2 u^{-0.1}$
	136 ∿ 1360	< 0.1	$\pi/\sqrt{3} K_0(\frac{u}{2}) e^{u/2}$
		> 0.1	u ^{-0.3}
	1360 ∿ 13600	all range	$\pi/\sqrt{3} K_0(\frac{u}{2}) e^{u/2}$
		L	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

Table I Gaunt factor \overline{g}_{ff} for bremsstrahlung



Fig. 1 Screening factor C (ξ) for the case of intermediate screening I (Eq. (3)) as a function of $\xi = 100 k_{\nu}/E_0 EZ^{\frac{1}{2}}$. (from Bethe and Heitler, 1934)

.

9.



Fig. 2 Screening factors $\phi_1(\xi)$ and $\phi_2(\xi)$ for the case of intermediate screening II (Eq. (4)) as a function of $\xi = 100 k_{\nu}/E_0 EZ^{\frac{1}{2}}$. (from Bethe and Heitler, 1934)



Fig. 3 Dependence of the bremsstrahlung spectrum shape on the electron kinetic energy for a platinum target (Z = 78). W_0 and k indicate electron kinetic energy and photon energy respectively. (from Koch and Motz, 1959)



Fig. 4 (a) Free-free Gaunt factor versus final electron energy $(W_0 - k_p)$ for various photon energies k_p . (Karzas and Latter, 1961)

. .



Fig. 4 (b) Free-free Gaunt factor versus photon energy k_{ν} for various final electron energies $W_0 - k_{\nu}$. (Karzas and Latter, 1961)





(a) Temperature averaged free-free Gaunt factor versus kT_e/Z_{eff}^2 for various values of $u = k_v/kT_e$. (Karzas and Latter, 1961)



æ

Fig. 5 (b), (c) Temperature averaged free-free Gaunt factor versus $u = k_p/kT_e$ for various values of kT_e/Z_{eff}^2 . (Karzas and Latter, 1961)



Fig. 6 Integral $\langle g_{ff} \rangle$ of temperature averaged Gaunt factor \bar{g}_{ff} with energy in eg. (17) as a function of temperatures. (Karzas and Latter, 1961)







Fig. 8 Free-free absorption coefficients of ions O⁺, K⁺, Mg⁺⁺ and Ca⁺⁺ for the temperatures 4000° K and 13000° K respectively. (from Peach, 1967)



Fig. 9 Free-free absorption coefficients of atoms (N, O, Ne) and of molecule (N₂), for the temperature 6300°K. (from Dalgarno and Lane, 1966)





Erratum; The scale of the ordinate of Fig. 7 in IPPJ-AM-6 (1978) is in error by fourth order. Please detach the figure from the enclosed sheet and paste it onto orginal Fig. 7 in IPPJ-AM-6 (1978).

.