



Helium bubble growth in tungsten nanotendril

Yingzhao He, Zhangcan Yang*

Department of Nuclear Engineering and Technology, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan 430074, China



I.



Background of tungsten fuzz formation and nano-tendril structures

II. Computational model

III. **Results and discussions**

III. Summary



I.



Background of tungsten fuzz formation and nano-tendril structures

II. Computational model

III. **New York Content** III.

III. X Summary

> Tungsten: a promising PFM

Extremely harsh environment

- <u>H/He plasma (0-100 eV)</u>
- Neutron: 14 MeV
- High heat flux (10 MW/m²)

Issues

- Surface damage: hydrogen induced blistering; helium induced fuzz formation
- Degradation of mechanical properties
- Melting

ITER divertor cassettes





Fuzz formation by low-energy He irradiation



Formation conditions

- Temperature: 900 2000 K
- He energy threshold: ~ 30 eV
- Fluence > 10^{24} m⁻² when E_{He}=50-80eV
- Thickness: up to several micrometers
- Fuzz layer growth dynamics: $\propto \sqrt{t}$

UC PISCES



De Temmerman, et al. J. Nucl. Mater. 438: S78–S83 (2013).



Kajita, Nucl. Fusion, 49 (2009) 095005

30kU

×5,000

5.4m

> Microstructures of nanotendrils











- Grain boundaries
- Faceted and rounded bubbles

Wang et al. Scientific reports 7 (2017): 42315.

Introduction





C.M. Parish, Scr. Mater. 127 (2017) 132-135.





I. A Background of tungsten fuzz formation and nano-tendril structures

II. Computational model

III. **New York Results and discussions**

III. XXX Summary

> Modeling – MD simulations





Create tilt grain boundary structure:

- 1. Rotate two grains by the opposite angles;
- 2. Optimize the GB structure and use the minimum energy structure as the model.
- Four common types of GB are studied: Σ3, Σ5, Σ7 and Σ17;

> Modeling





 $\Sigma 3 \text{ GB}$ structure

Simulation Settings









I. Background of tungsten fuzz formation and nano-tendril structures



III. **Results and discussions**

III. X Summary

Σ3 GB tendril: morphology





- Protruding ≈ 7nm
- Most of dislocations are [11-1] dislocations, leading to the interstitials stacking in the [11-1] direction
- A few <100> dislocations



[111] islocations	[-1-1-1] dislocations	[-111] dislocations	[1-1-1] dislocations	[1-11] dislocations	[-11-1] dislocations	[11-1] dislocations	[-1-11] dislocations
5.1%(2)	0%(0)	2.6%(1)	12.8%(5)	0%(0)	0%(0)	41.1%(16)	33.3%(13)

Σ5 GB tendril: morphology





- Much fewer dislocations before bubble rupture, resulting in fewer adatoms at the surface and smaller protruding part.
- Adatoms spread on the surface rather than stacking
- No <100> dislocations

[111]	[-1-1-1]	[-111]	[1-1-1]	[1-11]	[-11-1]	[11-1]	[-1-11]
dislocations							
0%(0)	11.8%(2)	17.6%(3)	17.6%(3)	5.9%(1)	5.9%(1)	35.3%(6)	5.9%(1)

> Surface adatoms





Bubble bursting

- There are much more adatoms in $\Sigma 3$ and $\Sigma 7$ structures than the other two structures when bubbles rupture.
- The rupture time of the four structures is similar, which means that these four structure have equivalent ability to accommodate He atoms.





- Σ3 and Σ7 structures have a more obvious protruding part caused by the interstitial atoms stacking.
- In the $\Sigma 5$ and $\Sigma 17$ structures, the interstitial atoms spread on the surface so that smaller protruding part forms.
- The number and direction of dislocations determine the shape and size of the protruding part.

Dislocation motion: analysis



- Most of the dislocations in the simulations are hybrid dislocations. Very few integrated prismatic dislocation loops exist.
- There are two type of hybrid dislocations.



Dislocation motion: animation



 Σ 7 structures (type a)



> Dislocation motion: type (a)





- Above: the snapshots of the type (a) dislocation in a Σ 3 structure.
- The color from blue to red designates the distance from the present atomic site to the center of the He bubble.
- As can be seen from the figure, the grain boundary is an important medium for the sliding of dislocations.

Dislocation motion: animation



$\Sigma 5$ structures



Dislocation motion: type(b)





- Above: the snapshots of the type (b) dislocation in a Σ 5 structure.
- The edge part dislocation plays a key role in the movement of hybrid dislocations.

> Dislocation motion: driving force



Below:

- The shear stress-field nephogram around the He bubble.
- The edge part dislocation and screw part dislocation have opposite shear stress direction.



Dislocation motion explanation





- A sketch of the movement process of the type (a) hybrid dislocation under shear stress.
- Firstly, the edge part glides toward the surface and then annihilates at surface leaving a pure screw dislocation;
- Subsequently the remaining screw part moves toward the GB driven by shear stress and then annihilates at the GB





I. Background of tungsten fuzz formation and nano-tendril structures

II. Computational model

III. **Results and discussions**

III. Summary





- He bubble growth in the vicinity of 4 types of GBs in W nanotendril has distinct features compared to bubble growth in the bulk or near surface.
 - ➢ He bubbles are attracted by GBs;
 - Generation of hybrid dislocations;
 - Rapid evolution of surface morphology.
- The formation of large protruding part in $\Sigma 3$ and $\Sigma 7$ structures could probably explain the formation of branch structures in the fuzz tendrils.
- For both two types of hybrid dislocations, the edge part dislocation moves first to drive the motion of the entire dislocation and then annihilates at the surface leaving the screw dislocation part. The remaining screw dislocation will either move to GBs or move to the surface.



